

CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

27 April 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR:

This memorandum contains a recommendation.

Reference Hanson Baldwin's request for you to see him next week, it is recommended that you do not see him at this time.

Baldwin lives on the ideas and opinions of people in Government. He checks his own opinions against those of Government officials and of one official against another -- and he becomes an expert.

He has been consistently critical of CIA. The courtesies shown to him by the Director, the DD/I, and others have had no effect in changing his attitude. Right now he is undoubtedly seeking from the Director information as to CIA's opinion of the Soviet position in guided missiles, ballistic missiles, aircraft, etc. -- what did you tell the Symington committee.

No gain will accrue to the Agency from your seeing him. He can not see the DD/I since he is away, so his immediate sources of contact in the Agency would not be available to him.

The DD/I has seen Harrison Salisbury and Harry Schwartz recently so the TIMES is not being hindered in its efforts to get the Soviet picture. From both Salisbury and Schwartz we have received Soviet information as well as our having given them information. With Baldwin you only give, so he can compare U.S. versus Soviet in military potential and actual strengths. And he continues to attack CIA.

It might have a salutary effect on Baldwin to know he can't always "walk in" on the DCI.

RECOMMENDATION: That Mr. Baldwin not be seen by the DCI at this time, but be seen at some future time when your calendar is not so filled.

STANLEY J. GROGAN

CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

3 February 1956

50X1

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR:

James Derieux, Washington Bureau Chief of COLLIER'S, had me to luncheon yesterday (La Salle du Bois). His reason was that Tony Leviero, of the NEW YORK TIMES, had talked to Derieux re Tony's doing a CIA story, based on an "intelligence aspect." He said that he agreed with Tony that there is a CIA story somewhere within the agency that some exploring writer will come upon and maybe Mr. Dulles would think of such a story that Tony could do for COLLIER'S. He said that he and Tony offered this idea: Maybe there is a specialist in CIA who reads everything and hears everything that one human can on a particular subject. He is like a man at the Smithsonian Institute who does nothing but study and "know everything of the history of United States flags." This CIA specialist, were his duties known to the public, would appear to be wasting the taxpayers' money. Then, one fact appears and his mosaic is completed, and something extraordinary is known to our intelligence and is passed on to the policy makers and it is of the highest significance. This may have happened in the past. Why not publicize one such "event?" I told him that the idea is novel but that he considers "news" first, while we must think of the national interest, of the protection of intelligence methods and sources, of cooperating intelligence agencies--the community, and of allied countries that work with us. However, I would tell Mr. Dulles of the idea. Derieux said that maybe Mr. Dulles has an idea of something that they could use that would be newsworthy and yet be valuable to the government and that he could get such an article in COLLIER'S on a 30-days' notice were the article worthy of national publication. I told him that this is good news and maybe sometime we can offer him something.

Derieux said that COLLIER'S has engaged Hanson Baldwin to do a missile story for them, with basic idea being that a 1500-mile missile will make our air bases in Africa and around the periphery of the Soviet and her satellites militarily useless.

He said COLLIER'S editors are paying much attention to Scotty Reston's Washington comments and tying up with some TIMES reporters for stories, thus the Baldwin and Leviero tie-ups.

He also said that the chief editors in New York believe that General Eisenhower will run again. Derieux has sent a memo disputing this, saying Ike will not run again but that Nixon is a stronger candidate than anyone else if the President does not run. Russell Davenport has made a "grass roots" survey of the country for COLLIER'S, under instructions to avoid politicians and "names" and talk only to clerks, people on buses, etc. Davenport reports a distrust of Nixon by people in general but a strong awareness of him. Derieux claims that we have a "Billy Graham" citizenry now and that a speech maker of Nixon's ability will make great strides in a tough campaign. He said Nixon's "Pat and dog" story during the previous campaign had people weeping for him--it was the finest piece of pure ham given to the American people in a generation, and they loved it. However, he fears Nixon, while strong, could not win, and that Dewey is the dark horse who can carry the day. Derieux is a Democrat but he'd vote for Dewey. He believes that

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

- 2 -

there is a "pulling away" from Stevenson and that Kefauver will nullify Stevenson and they will both lose the nomination and that Lausche will be the dark horse. Senator Russell is backing Lausche.

He said the President's popularity grows and grows so much that while the Democratic National Committee listed personal "gifts" to Ike valued at \$125,000, they have not made it public and likely will not because they fear it would boomerang. He said that if this were Truman even a refrigerator acceptance would be wrong, but tractors and Angus cattle are all O.K. if Ike is the recipient.

He believes that strong Republicans will vote Republican, strong Democrats will vote Democratic, but those (the majority) who don't give a damn will vote for a man like Dewey or Lausche. But Lausche, being unknown nationally, would not get the popular vote that Dewey would poll. His candidate is Dewey.

#### RECOMMENDATION:

Per your remark of yesterday, I would recommend that the DE/P people who have the data prepare for you a comprehensive story on the refugee, defector, and defection problems, with figures, and slanted in the way that will aid the Agency and the country, and after editing, if suitable, we offer it to Derieux, the article to come out in COLLIER'S under his byline.

STANLEY J. GREGAN

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~